

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



SUMMARY OF SUBMISISONS

From	Community
Councillor Peter Bradbury Cardiff Council Councillor for Caerau	Caerau
<i>Submission Summary:</i> No Change: Can I state that I believe that in my ward no changes are necessary, indeed I believe an extra councillor could be needed soon with an electorate of over 7500.	
From	Community
Councillor Elaine Simmons Cardiff Council Councillor for Caerau	Caerau
<i>Submission Summary:</i> No change: Supports the view of the previous submission.	
From	Community
As Individual	Roath
<i>Submission Summary:</i> No change.	
From	Community
As Individual	Rhiwbina
<i>Submission Summary:</i> No change: My 3 councillors are already dealing with queries, problems representing 6,800 plus constituents, enlarging or extending existing boundaries would mean a less efficient service - watered down responses, etc. We also have geographical boundaries ie Eastern Ave. -East. Wenallt Mountain North.	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
Councillor Ed Bridges Cardiff Council Councillor for Gabalfa	Gabalfa Cathays
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Recommendation 1 - Creation of a new community of Talybont based on Talybont North halls and Talybont South halls in Gabalfa ward and Talybont Court falls within Cathays ward. This change would, ..., logically join up one holistic community into the same polling district, ward and constituency and prevent a great deal of confusion.</p> <p>Recommendation 2 - renaming the Gabalfa community as Maindy Currently, very few people who live in Gabalfa ward say that they live in Gabalfa - most erroneously believe they are residents of Cathays, Mynachdy, Heath or Maindy, as these are the historical postal addresses for the constituent parts of the ward. Gabalfa in contrast, is thought to refer to nearby Gabalfa Estate (in Llandaff North ward).</p> <p>This obviously causes problems, with residents in Gabalfa assuming they live in adjacent wards, ... The nearby Maindy Leisure Centre is a well-known local landmark, and it is arguably a more accurate label for our area than Gabalfa.</p> <p>Aside from these suggestions, I see no need to alter the current community boundaries relating to Gabalfa ward.</p>	

From	Community
Councillor Gareth Holden Cardiff Council Councillor for Gabalfa	Gabalfa Cathays
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Supports the view of the previous submission.</p>	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
As Individual	Gabalfa Cathays
<i>Submission Summary:</i> Supports the view of the previous submission.	

From	Community
As Individual	Gabalfa Cathays
<i>Submission Summary:</i> Supports the view of the previous submission.	

From	Community
As Individual	Gabalfa
<i>Submission Summary:</i> Supports the view of the previous submission.	

From	Community
Councillor Gareth Aubrey Cardiff Council Councillor for Llandaff North	Gabalfa Cathays
<i>Submission Summary:</i> Supports the view of the previous submission.	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
Liberal Democrat Group and Cardiff Liberal Democrats	Gabalfa Cathays
<i>Submission Summary:</i> Supports the view of the previous submission.	

From	Community
As Individual	Gabalfa Cathays
<i>Submission Summary:</i> Supports the view of the previous submission regarding the creation of a new community of Talybont. As to the name of the Gabalfa ward I am less convinced about whether calling it Maindy would actually be any more applicable than Gabalfa although given the location of the barracks and the leisure centre the name Maindy does have a resonance in that part of the ward. My best suggestion would be a combination i.e. Maindy and Gabalfa	

From	Community
Councillor (Community) Sue McKerlich	Radyr and Morganstown – All wards
<i>Submission Summary:</i> No change: Because of the geographical features - river, railway, hills and arterial roads it would be difficult to split and is too big to add to another area.	

From	Community
As Individual	Pontprennau Old St Mellons
<i>Submission Summary:</i> No change.	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
Councillor (Community) Brian Griffiths	Tongwynlais
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> No change: ..., the council is adequate for the community. Members represent all the areas of the village. The council is run on a non-political basis During the 34 years we have increased the membership from 5 to 9, this works extremely well, the council has embarked on a number of community issues ... all in all the council is a very busy and constructive group that works well with the community, all the members are well known in the community, I do not believe there is any need for change.</p>	
From	Community
As Individual	Llandaff
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> No change: I feel that the boundaries / composition of the ward are representative of my local community.</p>	
From	Community
Councillor Rod McKerlich Cardiff Council Councillor for Radyr & Morganstown	Radyr and Morganstown – All wards
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> No change: R&M is a substantial community with more than 5,000 electors. It has a strong community spirit and identity; it is homogenous. For these reasons I support the status quo.</p>	
From	Community
As Individual	Cyncoed
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> No change.</p>	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
Butetown Labour Party	Butetown
<i>Submission Summary:</i> No change: The Butetown ward boundaries are satisfactory & follow largely natural boundaries. However Butetown remains woefully under-represented in political terms. We see little argument for addressing this through the establishment of a Community Council as the under-representation is so grave.	
From	Community
As Individual	Rumney Trowbridge
<i>Submission Summary:</i> Change: There is a small area of about 30 houses [The Avenue/Westbourne Court area] close to the junction of New Road and Wentloog Road that are currently in the Trowbridge ward. These houses are about a mile from the nearest properties in Trowbridge and surrounded by the ward of Rumney. It is my opinion that these properties should be in Rumney ward and not Trowbridge ward.	
From	Community
As Individual	Ely
<i>Submission Summary:</i> Change: I propose that the community of Ely be split into 2 communities, Ely and the New community of Michaelston Super Ely. The boundary between the two communities being Michaelston Road. The area of Michaelston is a separate community in that it has it's own Public House, Post Office and local shops. It is therefore only right that the Council should officially treat it as such.	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
As Individual	St Fagans
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Change: The community of Saint Fagans is currently one large ward. It is my opinion that the two areas in the community of Saint Fagans are distinctly different from each other. While I have no problem with both these areas remaining within the community of Saint Fagans, I do believe the community council should have two community wards - Saint Fagans North and Saint Fagans South. The boundary between the two being the river.</p>	
From	Community
Cllr Adrian Robson Cllr Jayne Cowan Cllr Eleanor Sanders Cardiff Council Councillors for Rhiwbina	Rhiwbina
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Change: I believe that the existing boundaries of Rhiwbina serve the community and electoral division well. However, I would like to request a change of the community name in order to reflect that Pantmawr (part of Rhiwbina community) does have a clear identity which is important and distinct to the rest of Rhiwbina. Whilst I do not believe that Pantmawr is large enough to become a separate community by itself, I believe it should be reflected in the existing community name. Therefore I would propose changing the name of the "Rhiwbina" community to one of two alternatives: either "Rhiwbina and Pantmawr" or "Rhiwbina with Pantmawr". I would hope that the official electoral division name would then change subsequently.</p>	
From	Community
As Individual	Rhiwbina
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Supports the view of the previous submission.</p>	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
As Individual	Radyr and Morganstown – All wards
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> No change: ... I do not see the need for changes to the community boundaries which are distinct between the River Taff, A4119; and the quarry. The villages have a long history evinced by our ancient sites in Morganstown & Radyr woods. The community has its own character and is trying hard to assimilate those who have joined it from nearly 1,000 new dwellings in the past 10 years and to encourage them to contribute. ... the new powers, especially that of wellbeing which community councils may undertake in future are sufficient to ensure a vigorous representation at all levels of government.</p> <p>The 12 CC members we have now cover a range of interests with diverse experience and expertise. I see no reason that it should change given our forward looking approach and policies of community involvement. Although I am replying to this consultation in a personal capacity, having received the documents directly, it was based in part on the views of the then members of R&M CC when the boundary changes were last discussed.</p>	
From	Community
As Individual	All communities
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Change: In view of the size of Cardiff there should be additional Community Councils formed asap. We have a charter in place and every effort should be made to implement this in full without delay so that the people have their say. The community is not fully represented with only 6 Community Councils there is no strength in depth and they suffer the consequences. Local planning is the obvious problem and consultations are only a means to an end without an LDP in place.</p>	
From	Community
Councillor (Community) Susan Chedzoy	Rhydlafer (St Fagans)
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> No change: To ensure that community governance reflects the identities and interests of the community and that it is both effective and convenient.</p>	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
St Fagans Community Council	St Fagans
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> No change: ... St Fagans Community Council: - is content with the existing boundaries and has no wish to propose any changes thereto; - wishes to retain the name of St Fagans Community Council; - is content with the electoral arrangements and considers that the existing number of Councillors (9) to be adequate; - has no wish to be grouped with another community.</p>	
From	Community
Councillor Dianne Elizabeth Rees Cardiff Council Councillor for Pontprennau & Old St Mellons	Old St Mellons
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Change: I propose two minor changes to the boundary of Old St Mellons. 1. That the site of St Mellons Church in Wales Primary School be included within the boundary. A pedestrian access could then be provided onto the green area adjacent to Newport Road. The school is the community school for Old St Mellons yet is situated at present within the community of Llanrumney. 2. During the last community review conducted by the Boundary Commission, Kenneth Treasure Court was included in the Old St Mellons Community Council boundary. Residents of Kenneth Treasure Court voted in the community council elections as residents of old St Mellons but received ballot papers for the county council elections as residents of the Trowbridge and St Mellons Ward although both elections were held on the same day. They should be included in the county council ward of Pontprennau and Old St Mellons.</p>	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
As Individual	Rhiwbina
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Change: My request is that the name of the Rhiwbina ward is changed to "Rhiwbina with Pantmawr" for the following reasons. Pantmawr is a community of about 1000 persons situated on the northern edge of the Rhiwbina Ward of Cardiff Council. Immediately to its north is the M4 motorway; to its west beyond the Pantmawr Cemetery is the A470 dual carriageway trunk road; to its south is the Whitchurch Golf Course and to its east are fields containing the Twmpath Ancient Monument. Therefore, apart from the ribbon of development on Rhiwbina Hill it is completely separated from other parts of Cardiff.</p> <p>It is actually part of the Ecclesiastical Parish of Tongwynlais. When the postal sorting office was located just off Old Church Road in Whitchurch, the postal address tended to be given as Whitchurch. Therefore there is confusion as to which ward we actually belong. Numerous residents of Pantmawr complain that when asked where they live and they say "Pantmawr" they have to explain where that is. This is not confined to people from outside Cardiff but happens when even talking with Cardiff Council Officers, The Police, Emergency Services (eg.999) and the 101 service.</p> <p>In September 2008, a public inquiry took place into an appeal against the refusal of Cardiff Council to permit the demolition of the Pantmawr Inn and the building of apartments and town houses on the site. In his decision to dismiss the appeal, the Planning Inspector stated that "the Pantmawr Estate has a discrete community identity, which is reinforced by its degree of physical separation from neighbouring parts of the city" . As Chairman of the group which fought to save the Pantmawr Inn, and the Neighbourhood Watch Co-ordinator for Pantmawr, I believe the residents would like that community identity formally recognised.</p> <p>I should emphasise that I do not consider Pantmawr to be large enough to be a separate Council Ward. The only facility we have is the Inn and we rely heavily on Rhiwbina for other services. I do not believe it would be in our interest to be separate from Rhiwbina. We have a good relationship with the Rhiwbina Councillors, who do recognise us as a separate community in that they will sometimes publish a separate newsletter for Pantmawr or put a special Pantmawr insert in their Rhiwbina Newsletter when this is appropriate.</p> <p>However, adding the name of Pantmawr to the Ward name will help us be recognised, maintain our identity and reduce the confusion as to our location and allegiance.</p>	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
As Individual	Old St Mellons
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> No change: I support the current number of Community Councillors on the Old St Mellons Community Council. I believe that the Community Councillors do a good job and are value for money. The Councillors are committed to protecting the local community and ensuring that changes are properly considered and reflect the needs of the Community. I support the current boundaries of the village.</p>	
From	Community
Radyr & Morganstown Community Council	Radyr and Morganstown – All wards
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> No changes: The Radyr Ward includes the two communities of Radyr and Morganstown which now function as one homogeneous whole with shared characteristics, concerns and facilities. The ward boundaries are the River Taff to the east and the A4119 (Llantrisant Road) to the south west/west which are clear boundaries with neighbouring communities. The other ward boundaries are in green spaces including a disused railway line and Taffs Well quarry. We do not feel that there is any reason to change these more flexible boundaries. The population of R&M is stabilising with the completion of development at what was Radyr Sidings. Any future change is most likely to come with development proposed under Cardiff's Local Development plan and the supporting information with this consultation, detailing population and electorate forecasts, shows increases in the Radyr South and Radyr North wards, which is where the LDP growth for Radyr would be. That development, should it occur, would still be within existing ward boundaries and the community council does not see a need to alter them.</p>	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
Lisvane Community Council	Lisvane
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> From the first community meeting in December 1894 there has been one body which has represented the whole of Lisvane together with the Ward Councillor. No resident has ever raised or proposed any changes to the current arrangements so for that reason Lisvane Community Council would strongly support the retention of the present arrangements whereby:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. There is a County Councillor representing the whole of the Ward.2. The Community Council operates on the existing structure representing the whole of the community and would not support warding.3. The Community Council remains apolitical.4. The existing strong relationships between the Lisvane Community Council, Lisvane Community Association and other Community Groups are maintained and developed5. That Cardiff Council recognizes and works with Lisvane Community Council to deliver the findings of the Village Plan which was produced following a questionnaire to every household in the village. <p>Proposed Changes to the Community Boundary Lisvane Community Council wishes to propose three amendments to the Community Boundary:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The section of Mill Road and Mill Place which is located in Llanishen be transferred to Lisvane2. The section of Lisvane Road which is located in Llanishen be transferred to Lisvane.3. Graig Llwyn Road the houses from Lisvane Cattery to the current Lisvane Boundary be transferred into the Lisvane Ward. <p>The reason for these amendments are:-</p> <p>1 & 2 Mill Road and Lisvane Road are the main artery roads through the village. The majority of Lisvane residents believe that both areas are already in the Lisvane Ward; particularly as for some their postal and ecclesiastical address is given as Lisvane. The majority of residents from these areas attend church and use the local community facilities in Lisvane not Llanishen and generally consider themselves Lisvane residents. Given the local perception and the natural boundaries of the Main Road the Community Council is of the view that an amendment to the Ward boundary would be a sensible solution.</p> <p>3. This anomaly was draw to the attention of the Lisvane Community Council by a resident who expressed a clear view that as half of the</p>	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



properties on Graig Llwyn Road were already in the Lisvane Ward and the remaining properties on Graig Llwyn Road are situated nearest to the Community of Lisvane they should be represented by the Lisvane County Councillor and Lisvane Community Council. Both the National Assembly and Cardiff Council are advocating that there is a need to develop and strengthen Communities, particularly given the current financial circumstances, so that stronger communities can do more to develop and provide their own facilities and services. For this to happen you need to allow residents to work within the community they readily relate to in the case of the four roads referred to above this is clearly Lisvane.

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
As Individual	Pentyrch – Creigiau ward
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Change: The parish of Pentyrch covers the villages of Capel Llanilltern, Creigiau and Groesfaen. Additionally, the main places of worship are St Catwg's, Pentyrch and St David's, Groesfaen. The church hall for Groesfaen is in Creigiau. Before the Government re-organisation in 1996, Groesfaen was allied to Creigiau and Capel Llanilltern as one Council Ward under the then Taff Ely Local Authority. That reorganisation left Groesfaen isolated at the southern end of Rhondda Cynon Taff and also isolated at the eastern end of Pontyclun Community Council. It seems ridiculous, with hindsight, that post 1996, Creigiau was allied with St Fagans, a village some 3 miles distance from Creigiau and separated by farmland, woodlands and open pastures, with Groesfaen only some 300 yards away. It is my view that Groesfaen be brought within Cardiff County Council and within the Pentyrch Community Council in the interest of effective and convenient local government.</p>	
From	Community
Pentyrch Community Council	Pentyrch – All wards
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: 1. That the village of Groesfaen is brought within Cardiff County Council and, also, within the Pentyrch Community Council area. The County and Community Council boundaries should be adjusted accordingly 2. That the housing development at Rhydlafer is brought within the Pentyrch Community Council and, specifically, within the Pentyrch ward, and that the Community Council boundaries be adjusted accordingly. 3. That the County Council ward of Creigiau & St Fagans is dissolved and a new County Council ward is created covering the villages of Creigiau, Grosfaen and Capel Llanilltern. 4. That the Community Council representation of the new ward of Creigiau, Groesfaen and Capel Llanilltern be increased to, at least, 7 councillors and the Community Council representation for Gwaelod y Garth be increased to 3 Councillors. Even if these changes are not approved then the representation of both Creigiau/Capel Llanilltern and Gwaelod y Garth needs to be increased to bring it in line with Pentyrch. This community council takes the view that all the recommendations made above would be in the interests of effective and convenient local government.</p>	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
As Individual	Lisvane.
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> No change: Lisvane is a compact village with excellent relations between an "a-political community council", without warding (by choice) and a strong Lisvane Community Association which has 29 affiliated organisations representing all ages and interests. We all work for the common good of the village and its needs. All that is necessary is for Cardiff City Council to effectively implement the charter to ensure closest possible working in the future.</p>	

From	Community
As Individual	Llandaff Fairwater
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Change: I propose that an addition to Llandaff Community be made from a reduction of Fairwater Community. I believe that the estate of Tangmere Drive, off of Llantrisant Road, and the subsequent roads off of Tangmere Drive (including Kirton Close and the Texaco garage complex), all of which are north of the abandoned railway line, should be transferred from Fairwater Community to Llandaff Community. The presence of the abandoned railway line between the Tangmere Drive estate (all roads of which are "No through roads" from Llantrisant road, in the Llandaff Community), and the greater Fairwater Community provides a natural barrier of movement for residents and motorists of the two groupings and would present an ideal boundary between the communities of Llandaff and Fairwater. As it is, the Tangmere Drive estate can only be accessed by vehicle from the Llandaff Community, meaning it is somewhat of an exclave of greater Fairwater. The closest grouping of amenities in fairwater to the Tangmere Drive estate (also known as Radyr Way) is located on the junction of Waterhall road and Pwellmelin road. But far closer to these, for both pedestrians and motorists, are the amenities in the danescourt estate, within the Llandaff Community, providing a Post Office, pharmacy and supermarket. As well as these, located at the same travelling distance to those in Fairwater and more convenient to access, are those found within the Llandaff village High Street. Therefore the residents of the Tangmere Drive estate are more likely, and in fact do, frequent the amenities found in the Llandaff Community to a far greater extent than those in Fairwater.</p>	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



The train station that is closest to the estate is Danescourt Station, located in Llandaff Community. Also, although the Number 33 (A & B) bus route runs from the estate into Fairwater, the Number 60/62(A) bus route serves both Danescourt and Llandaff High Street slightly more frequently and also on Sunday evenings, which the 33 does not. Making Llandaff and Danescourt a more attractive and convenient destination for those wanting to use public transport from the Tangmere Drive estate.

As a result of these factors, it does not seem sensible that the residents of the Tangmere Drive estate elect councillors that represent fairwater when they use the facilities of, and essentially form part of, the Llandaff Community, which is on their doorstep. The Fairwater councillors may not be best placed to represent a Tangmere Drive estate resident when their concern is more likely to be with something in the Llandaff Community than that of greater fairwater.

The transfer of the Tangmere Drive estate would also allow a slightly more efficient collection of refuse and recycling waste, as the waste disposal vehicles would just have to drop South on the way to/from Danescourt estate, rather than having to go a longer way around from the greater part of fairwater.

The transfer could also include the smaller portion of Tangmere Drive that connects to Waterhall Road, but is not the primary concern of this proposal due to its geography.

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
Councillor Phil Bale Cardiff Council Councillor for Llanishen	Llanishen
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: I would like to propose a change in the name of the Llanishen Ward to Llanishen and Thornhill Ward. I believe this better reflects the historic and other strong links, including school catchment areas, between these two communities. It would also recognise the growth in Thornhill's population in recent times, which now accounts for a much larger proportion of the local community. Except for this suggestion, I support the existing boundaries for the Llanishen/Llanisien Ward and do not wish to see any changes.</p> <p>I also wish to highlight the Council map used during this community consultation again shows 1-15 Maberley Court within Llanishen/Llanisien, even though residents have historically voted in Cyncoed. This persistent mapping error reflects wider confusion as to whether Maberley Court is in Llanishen/Llanisien (as most properties border Ewenny Road) or Cyncoed. I have no firm view at present on either scenario but would request that this position is clarified as part of this consultation exercise.</p>	
From	Community
Lisvane Community Association	Lisvane
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> No change: The LCA [Lisvane Community Association] does not propose any changes to the existing community arrangements. The LCA endorses the current form and function of the Lisvane Community Council[LCC]. The LCA wants to retain the make-up of the village community in it's present size and form. The LCA is against urbanisation of the area and wished to retain the Lisvane "Green Wedge" proposed in the LDP [Local Development Plan]. The LCA therefore supports the recent LCC letter of objection dated 14 May 2013 to the proposed development at Cefn Mably Road ref 13/00649/DCO.</p>	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
Cllr Dilwar Ali Cllr Siobhan Corria Cardiff Council Councillors for Llandaff North	Llandaff North
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> No change: Llandaff North already [is a] three part ward, Old Llandaff North, Gabalfa and Mynachdy estate and our view is not propose any changes to the existing community arrangements.</p>	
From	Community
Councillor Georgina Phillips Cardiff Council Councillor for Pontprennau & Old St Mellons	Pontprennau Old St Mellons
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Change: For the most part I would not wish to see any changes being made at present to the Ward of Pontprennau and Old St Mellons with the LDP preferred strategy soon to be finalised, the area of Pontprennau and Old St Mellons is intended to have major changes made to the size of the electorate and any possible changes made now would simply have to be changed again as the years progress and the area grows. With the likelihood being that its population will double in size.</p> <p>However, there is one particular area of Old St Mellons which I consider to be in need of a change soonest. This is an area where changes have recently been made, moving the electorate out of the districts of Rumney and Trowbridge, and placing them in the area of Old St Mellons, where they are now a part of the Old St Mellons Community Council electorate. They are however not members of the Old St Mellons County Council electorate and are finding this situation very difficult, and have expressed concerns over the position in which they find themselves. I would therefore request that this situation be dealt with soonest.</p>	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
Councillor (Community) Leonard Phillips	Old St Mellons Pontprennau
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: The Old St Mellons Community boundary in way of Duncan Close and Bethania Row do not match the Pontprennau and Old St Mellons ward boundary, the ward boundary should be adjust to correct this confusing anomaly. The proposed Cardiff Local Development Plan Preferred Strategy identifies areas of land within the boundary of the Old St Mellons Community for housing development, indeed plans have already been submitted to Cardiff Council for over 1,000 homes complete with School and Shops that will at least double the population of the community. There are also plans to release land for large scale development between Pontprennau and Lisvane that will most likely require boundary adjustments when completed. In view of the current status of the Cardiff Local Development Plan and it's likely effect on the community of Old St Mellons and the ward it belongs to, it would be foolish in the extreme for substantial boundary changes to be made at this time.</p>	
From	Community
Plaid Cymru Cardiff Area Community	Butetown Canton Ely Grangetown Llanishen Llandaff Pentyrch – All wards Riverside St Fagans
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: We propose several changes to the community boundaries mainly involving the establishment of several new communities. Our proposals reflect the fact there has been much new housing development since the present pattern of community boundaries was established, and that the present boundaries no longer reflect social realities to the extent that they originally did. As a general principle, we consider the community</p>	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



government and representation is likely to be improved if community boundaries define areas that are relatively coherent and comprehensible to their residents. Our specific proposals are set out as follows in relation to the existing community areas:

Pentyrch. We propose that the existing community be split into three - Gwaelod y Garth, Pentyrch itself and Creigiau, using the current community ward boundaries. The three community wards now contain three distinct and substantial villages, and there is no good reason why the community boundaries should no longer reflect that. The artificiality of the current arrangements is evident from the fact that the community ward of Creigiau is part of a separate local authority ward from the other two community wards.

St Fagans and Ely

The current boundary between these two communities runs through the middle of a relatively recently developed housing area that is distinct in character from the remaining areas of both communities. We propose that a new community should be established to cover that area, including the part of the existing St Fagans community lying south of the river Ely and the part of the Ely community lying west of Green Farm Road. This is broadly the area of the former civil parish of Michaelston super Ely (in English) or Llanfihangel ar Elai (in Welsh), and we propose that the new community be given that name.

Riverside

We propose that the present community of Riverside should be divided in two along Cowbridge Road, with the southern part retaining the name of Riverside and the northern part becoming the community of Pontcanna. The present Riverside contains two distinct areas of sharply differing character with a clear boundary between them, and that should be reflected in the pattern of community boundaries.

Llandaff

Llandaff also contains two distinct areas, although they do not offer such a clear contrast as that between Riverside and Pontcanna. We propose that the Danescourt area, which was originally in the civil parish of Radyr rather than Llandaff become a community separate from that of Llandaff

Canton and Grangetown

These two communities have also been affected by the development of new housing areas, and further proposed development is expected to increase their size further, making Grangetown the largest community in Cardiff with a population of nearly 25,000 by 2018. Canton will also be among the largest. The new housing areas are clearly distinct in character from the Victorian areas which make up the older parts of both

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



communities. We therefore propose that the Leckwith area of the Canton community, south of the main railway line, should be separated to form a new community and joined with the part of the current Grangetown community which lies west of the railway line from Cardiff to Penarth and Barry. It should be called Leckwith (Lecwydd in Welsh). We also propose that the southern part of Grangetown, south of Ferry Road and including the Windsor Quay and Dunleavy Drive areas, should be erected into a new community. We make no proposal for the name of the community.

Butetown

A similar situation obtains in Butetown, with a clear distinction between the older part west of Bute Street and Lloyd George Avenue and the newer housing areas to the east, generally known as Atlantic Wharf (Glanfa'r Iwerydd in Welsh). We propose that that area should become a community separate from that of Butetown.

Llanishen

Llanishen is another community area of two distinct halves, and also one of the largest. We propose that the Thornhill area (Y Ddraenen in Welsh) be erected into a separate community.

All Community Councils

The present position in which some communities have their own community councils and others do not is anomalous. We propose that community councils should be established in all communities unless residents clearly wish otherwise. We envisage that their role should be consultative with any administrative expenses kept to the minimum necessary to hold regular meetings and circulate documents.

From	Community
As Individual	Llandaff
<i>Submission Summary:</i> No change: I am not prepared to have another level of bureaucracy costing more money. Llandaff is not a community. The Llandaff Society does not communicate with other parts of Llandaff outside it's fictitious "city" limits and is a drawback on progress. The Danescourt Community Association works well without financial cost.	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
As Individual	Llandaff
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> No change: We already have enough layers of bureaucracy to contend with. We have an MEP, an MP, an AM and good Cllrs. to look after us locally, nationally and internationally. Another layer would be created if we had a Community Council, which would have very limited powers to probably serve the whole of Llandaff rather than just our section of it, which would be a backward step as we are already well served by the Danescourt Community Association and I suspect that our voices from the DCA would have less effect than at present if a Community Council was established. The existing four layers of governance that we have to contend with are surely sufficient. There would also be the increase in the cost of our Council Tax bill each year to pay for any Community Council, which would not be welcome.</p>	

From	Community
Julie Morgan AM	Gabalfa Heath Lisvane Llandaff North Llanishen Old St Mellons Pontprennau Rhiwbina Tongwynlais Whitchurch
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> No change: The existing ward boundaries are satisfactory and on the whole reflect local communities. Llanishen and Thornhill should be kept as one ward because of the strong links between the two.</p>	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
Councillor Siobhan Corria Cardiff Council Councillor for Llandaff North	Llandaff North
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> No change: I am fully supportive of the existing boundary for Llandaff North. I see no reason to change the boundary for Llandaff North ward and I would strongly oppose any geographical changes based on the projected population growth.</p>	
From	Community
Llandaff Society (affiliated to the Civic Trust for Wales)	Llandaff
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: Llandaff Society Committee was asked to put forward a case for a community council for Llandaff by the majority of those attending a public meeting on 20 May 2013. We consider that Llandaff would benefit from having a statutorily recognised voice on local matters and is an eminently suitable location for the establishment of a community council because it</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - is a well-defined area centred on a distinctive historical settlement - is a place that engenders a strong community spirit and sense of identity - has a very active community which has campaigned successfully for investment in and the reopening of Insole Court. 	
From	Community
Liberal Democrat Group and Cardiff Liberal Democrats	Butetown
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: We propose the establishment of a new community of Atlantic Wharf. The boundaries of the new community would be the South Wales mainline, Cardiff Bay line, Lloyd George Avenue, Roald Dahl Plass, The Cardiff southern settlement boundary and the existing Butetown/Splott Boundary. The boundary within Cardiff Bay itself would need to be evaluated, however we would propose that the entirety of the Cardiff section of the Bay Barrage should fall within the Atlantic Wharf community. Atlantic Wharf is recognised as existing on road signs and ordnance survey mapping. The area contained within the community boundary is almost exclusively comprised of residential developments that have taken place within the last 20 years. These properties are clearly linked and are architecturally distinct from the existing community of Butetown and Lloyd George Avenue and the Cardiff Bay line form a strong boundary feature.</p>	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
Liberal Democrat Group and Cardiff Liberal Democrats	Cyncoed Pentwyn Penylan
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: We propose three areas to be included within the existing community of Cyncoed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- from the existing community of Pentwyn, the area west of Nant Glandulas brook (including Pontfaen). This proposal aligns the boundary between the Pentwyn and Cyncoed communities with the existing boundary between the Lisvane and Pontprennau communities. Nant Glandulas is a more visible feature for this purpose.- from the existing community of Penylan, Lady Mary Road. This proposal would mean that all roads directly accessing Lake Road East would be contained within a single community.- from the existing community of Penylan, Ffordd Bodlyn and all properties on the east side of Cyncoed Road north of Ffordd Bodlyn. The existing boundary between Penylan and Cyncoed has houses on opposite sides of the same road in different communities. This proposal equalises the boundary so that facing properties on Cyncoed Road fall within the same community.	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
Liberal Democrat Group and Cardiff Liberal Democrats	St Fagans Ely
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i></p> <p>Changes: We proposed the creation of a new community of Michaelston-Super-Ely. The boundaries of the new community would be the South Wales mainline, Cardiff western settlement boundary, A48, Michaelston Road, Grand Avenue, Snowden Road (western rear fence line), Recreation Ground and Plymouth Great Wood, incorporating areas from the existing communities of Ely and St Fagans. Michaelston-Super-Ely is recognised as existing on road signs and on ordnance survey mapping. It is recognised as a separate policing area and gives it's name to the local secondary school and pub. The proposed community boundary includes all the latter phases of housing developments that are currently divided between the Ely and St Fagans communities, combining properties that are clearly linked and are architecturally distinct from those in both the Ely and St Fagans communities. The proposed community boundary also provides more effective and convenient local government by resolving the status of Marguerites Way (which presently has no road link to the rest of the St Fagans Community in which it current lies).</p> <p>We further propose that the remaining St Fagans Community Council should be warded as the remaining housing in the community area is primarily divided into two clusters, representing St Fagans village and Rhydlafer (these being separately signposted, particularly on Crofft-y-Genau Road which runs for over 1.5 miles between the two). Accordingly, we propose that the community ward of Rhydlafer, the boundaries of which would be the M4, the Taffs Well - Barry railway track bed (disused) and the Fairwater - Creigiau railway track bed (disused) and which would be represented by 6 community councillors, and the community ward of St Fagans (comprising the remainder of the community area) which would be represented by 4 community councillors.</p>	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
Liberal Democrat Group and Cardiff Liberal Democrats	Fairwater
<i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: We propose the creation of a new community of Pentrebane. The boundaries of the new community would be Pentrebane Road, Plasmawr Road, Poplar Road, Sports Ground, rear of Gorse Place-St Fagans Road-St Fagans Rise-Oakway-Firs Avenue, and the existing Fairwater-St Fagans boundary. Pentrebane is recognised as existing on road signs and bus routes, as well as on ordnance survey mapping. It was previously an electoral division of the South Glamorgan County Council. It has its own community centre, gives its name to the local primary school and also to local businesses.	
From	Community
Liberal Democrat Group and Cardiff Liberal Democrats	Heath
<i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: We propose the creation of a new community of Birchgrove. The boundaries of the new community would be the A470, A469, Tywern Road and Tyn y Parc Road. Birchgrove is recognised as existing on ordnance survey mapping and on bus routes. It gives its name to the local primary school and pub and to a nearby railway station.	
From	Community
Liberal Democrat Group and Cardiff Liberal Democrats	Llandaff
<i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: We propose the establishment of a new community council for Llandaff. [A Councillor] attended the public meeting held by the Llandaff Society in May 2013 and firmly believe that the support shown for the establishment of a community council is indicative of the feeling in the community at large. We recognise that there will be debate as to the precise boundary of the community if a community council were to be established, and believe that this should be tested in the consultation at the draft proposal stage. We would propose that if established on the current community boundaries, the new community council be comprised of 9 councillors and that the community should be warded, with a community ward of Danescourt (comprising the community west of the City line) represented by 3 councillors and a community ward of Llandaff (comprising the remainder of the community area) represented by 6 councillors.	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
Liberal Democrat Group and Cardiff Liberal Democrats	Llanishen
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: We propose the creation of a new community of Thornhill. The boundaries of the new community would be Caerphilly Road, M4, Cherry Orchard Road, Rhymney line railway and the linear park. Thornhill is recognised as existing on road signs, on ordnance survey mapping and bus routes. It has an existing community centre and gives it's name to the local station and primary school. It is also recognised as a separate policing area and was formerly an electoral division of the South Glamorgan County Council. The area contained within the community boundary is almost exclusively comprised of residential developments that have taken place within the last 25 years. These properties are clearly linked and architectural distinct from the existing community of Llanishen and the proposed boundary along the linear park is strongly visible on the ground.</p>	
From	Community
Liberal Democrat Group and Cardiff Liberal Democrats	Rhiwbina
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: We propose the creation of a new community of Pantmawr. The boundaries of the new community would be the A470, Pantmawr Road, Rhiwbina Hill, Heol Llanishen Fach, Caerphilly Road, Cardiff northern settlement boundary and the existing Rhiwbina-Tongwynlais boundary. Pantmawr is recognised as existing on road signs, on bus routes and on ordnancy survey mapping and in names of local roads. It also gives its name to the local pub which has been focus of community campaigns in recent years. It was previously an electoral division of South Glamorgan County Council. The proposed boundary divides distinct and identifiable phases of housing developments.</p>	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
Liberal Democrat Group and Cardiff Liberal Democrats	Trowbridge Rumney Old St Mellons Pontprennau
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: We propose that the area bounded by Wentloog Road, New Road, Mardy Road, Wentloog Avenue, Mardy Road, the existing Rumney/Trowbridge boundary, the Cardiff southern settlement boundary and the South Wales mainline be transferred from the existing community of Trowbridge to the community of Rumney. This area has no road access to Trowbridge community that does not pass through Rumney community and it is almost 1 mile from this area to any inhabited part of Trowbridge community. Accordingly, the most effective governance option for this community is to include it within the Rumney community.</p> <p>We propose that Canopus Close and Duncan Close be transferred from the existing community of Old St Mellons to the community of Trowbridge. We recognise that there is a strong desire in these closes to be associated with the community of Old St Mellons as expressed in the last community review. Nevertheless, this desire does not accord with the situation on the ground and as such cannot be said to provide effective or convenient governance. Road access from these closes is to Greenway Road, and as a result they are 1/2 mile by road from any other part of Old St Mellons, and further still from local amenities in that community. The position established by the previous review is inconsistent with the treatment of the neighbouring closes on Greenway Road and should be re-considered.</p> <p>We propose that the area bounded by the A48, A4232, M4 and River Rhymney be transferred from the existing community of Old St Mellons to the community of Pontprennau. The River Rhymney historically formed the boundary between Monmouthshire and Glamorganshire and thus marked the edge of Old St Mellons. The area proposed to be transferred is likely to be subject to significant development in the near future and any such development will naturally gravitate towards the Pentwyn link road in preference to Tyr Winch Road. Placing this area in the Pontprennau community therefore reflects both the historic and the likely future position.</p>	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
Liberal Democrat Group and Cardiff Liberal Democrats	Splott
<i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: We propose the creation of a new community of Tremorfa. The boundaries of the new community would be the River Rhymney, South Wales mainline, Splott branch line, the existing Splott/Butetown boundary and the Cardiff southern settlement boundary. Tremorfa is recognised on ordnance survey mapping and bus routes and gives it's name to the local nursery school and a local park as well as several businesses. It is also recognised as a separate policing area and was previously an electoral division of South Glamorgan County Council. The railway lines provide a strong boundary feature for the community and clearly divide different phases of residential development.	
From	Community
Liberal Democrat Group and Cardiff Liberal Democrats	Whitchurch
<i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: We propose the establishment of a new community of Coryton. The boundaries of the new community would be the M4, A470, Coryton line, rear of Whitchurch Hospital, Melingruffydd Feeder, River Taff. Coryton is recognised as existing on road signs and on ordnance survey mapping. It gives it's name to the local primary school and to the local station, railway line and motorway junction, all of which are strong boundary features.	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
Liberal Democrat Group and Cardiff Liberal Democrats	Canton Grangetown Riverside
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i></p> <p>Changes: We propose the creation of a new community of Leckwith. The boundaries of the new community would be the South Wales mainline, Leckwith Road, Penarth Junction Line, the Vale of Glamorgan line, Penarth Road, Cardiff western settlement boundary, River Ely and A48. Leckwith is recognised as existing on road signs and on ordnance survey mapping and in local road names, as well as in the name of a local church. Housing in the area comprises a number of periods of development, several of which are comparatively recent and all of which are more clearly linked to themselves and to each other than to housing elsewhere in the existing communities of Canton and Grangetown in which they currently lie. Substantial new development is also anticipated at the Ely Mill site. The railway line provides a strong boundary feature for the community.</p> <p>We propose the creation of a new community, the boundaries of which would be Penarth Road, disused railway track bed, Ferry Road, rear of Jim Driscoll Way, River Taff and the Cardiff western settlement boundary. The area contained within the community boundary is almost exclusively comprised of residential developments that have taken place within the last 20 years. These properties are clearly linked and architecturally distinct from the existing community of Grangetown and the proposed boundary is strongly visible on the ground. Significant new development is also anticipated at the Cardiff Pointe Site. We recognise that this area does not yet have a single settled name and would suggest that the naming of the new community should form part of the consultation at the draft proposal stage.</p> <p>We propose the creation of a new community of Pontcanna. The boundaries of the new community would be the River Taff, Western Avenue, Mill Lane, rear of Cardiff Road, Llandaff Road, Wyndham Crescent and Cowbridge Road East. Pontcanna is know throughout Cardiff for it's namesake fields. It is recognised on ordnance survey mapping and gives it's name to numerous local businesses and community organisations. It was previously an electoral division of South Glamorgan County Council.</p> <p>We propose that the area bounded by Wyndham Crescent, Cowbridge Road East, Wyndham Place, Wellington Street, Leckwith Road and Llandaff Road be transferred from the existing community of Riverside to the community of Canton. Housing in this area is visually distinctive from that in Pontcanna and Riverside, representing a different phase of development. The area also includes a number of buildings and businesses named as being in Canton (notably the Canton Community Hall).</p>	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
Electoral Registration Officer	Cyncoed
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: Moving 18-21 Hollybush Heights from Cyncoed Community to Pentwyn Community to match the Electoral Division of Pentwyn. The number of electors and properties affected are: Electors 4 (at present not all flats are occupied) Properties 4 residential properties plus double garage belonging to 57 Hollybush Road This will ensure that the street Hollybush Heights is not split between two communities and in the event of a council being established they will have correct representation.</p>	

From	Community																					
Electoral Registration Officer	Llanishen																					
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: Move 150 properties from Llanishen Community to Cyncoed Community to better reflect the identity and interests of the residents. The number of electors and properties affected are:</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tbody> <tr> <td style="width: 30%;">Rheidol Close</td> <td style="width: 30%;">39 electors</td> <td style="width: 30%;">18 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Towy Road</td> <td>28 electors</td> <td>12 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Elan Road</td> <td>47 electors</td> <td>20 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Solva Avenue</td> <td>64 electors</td> <td>33 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fidlas Road</td> <td>51 electors</td> <td>32 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ewenny Road</td> <td>38 electors</td> <td>17 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Usk Road</td> <td>33 electors</td> <td>18 properties</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Llanishen community has physical boundaries of the M4 motorway to the north, Thornhill Road to the west and railway lines to both the South and the East. The exception to this is the area towards the reservoir. It is proposed that the physical boundaries identifying the community of Llanishen be continued across this community anomaly and thus these properties move to Cyncoed to which they have a greater physical road connection to. It is proposed that the physical area of Llanishen Reservoir and Lisvane Reservoir could either relocate to Cyncoed Community or that</p>		Rheidol Close	39 electors	18 properties	Towy Road	28 electors	12 properties	Elan Road	47 electors	20 properties	Solva Avenue	64 electors	33 properties	Fidlas Road	51 electors	32 properties	Ewenny Road	38 electors	17 properties	Usk Road	33 electors	18 properties
Rheidol Close	39 electors	18 properties																				
Towy Road	28 electors	12 properties																				
Elan Road	47 electors	20 properties																				
Solva Avenue	64 electors	33 properties																				
Fidlas Road	51 electors	32 properties																				
Ewenny Road	38 electors	17 properties																				
Usk Road	33 electors	18 properties																				

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



Llanishen Reservoir could relocate to Cyncoed Community and Lisvane Reservoir to Lisvane Community. The proposer has no view on which would be the most suitable.
This proposal should be read in conjunction with the other 2 proposals affecting this area

From	Community
Electoral Registration Officer	Llanishen
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: Move the properties in Maberley Court from Llanishen Community to Cyncoed Community. The number of electors and properties affected are: Maberley Court 22 electors 24 properties Maberley Court is a cul-de-sac that has no direct access into it's current community of Llanishen. It's access is into Fidas Avenue which is in Cyncoed and thus it's warding arrangements should be amended to match it's electoral arrangements which is voting for Cyncoed at local elections.. This proposal should be read in conjunction with the other 2 proposals affecting this area.</p>	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community																																	
Electoral Registration Officer	Llanishen																																	
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: Move 426 properties from Llanishen Community to Lisvane Community to better reflect the identity and interests of the residents. The number of electors and properties affected are:</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%;">The Rise</td> <td style="width: 30%;">74 electors</td> <td style="width: 30%;">34 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>South Rise</td> <td>141 electors</td> <td>77 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>North Rise</td> <td>76 electors</td> <td>38 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>West Rise</td> <td>66 electors</td> <td>31 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>East Rise</td> <td>31 electors</td> <td>13 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lisvane Road</td> <td>160 electors</td> <td>103 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Marion Court</td> <td>26 electors</td> <td>24 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Woodside Court</td> <td>60 electors</td> <td>42 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Millgate</td> <td>13 electors</td> <td>6 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mill Close</td> <td>48 electors</td> <td>31 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mill Road</td> <td>67 electors</td> <td>27 properties</td> </tr> </table> <p>Llanishen community has physical boundaries of the M4 motorway to the north, Thornhill Road road to the west and railway lines to both the South and the East. The exception to this is the area towards the reservoir. It is proposed that the physical boundaries identifying the community of Llanishen be continued across this community anomaly and thus these properties move to Lisvane. It is proposed that the physical area of Llanishen Reservoir and Lisvane Reservoir could either relocate to Cyncoed Community or that Llanishen Reservoir could relocate to Cyncoed Community and Lisvane Reservoir to Lisvane Community. The proposer has no view on which would be the most suitable. This proposal should be read in conjunction with the other 2 proposals affecting this area.</p>		The Rise	74 electors	34 properties	South Rise	141 electors	77 properties	North Rise	76 electors	38 properties	West Rise	66 electors	31 properties	East Rise	31 electors	13 properties	Lisvane Road	160 electors	103 properties	Marion Court	26 electors	24 properties	Woodside Court	60 electors	42 properties	Millgate	13 electors	6 properties	Mill Close	48 electors	31 properties	Mill Road	67 electors	27 properties
The Rise	74 electors	34 properties																																
South Rise	141 electors	77 properties																																
North Rise	76 electors	38 properties																																
West Rise	66 electors	31 properties																																
East Rise	31 electors	13 properties																																
Lisvane Road	160 electors	103 properties																																
Marion Court	26 electors	24 properties																																
Woodside Court	60 electors	42 properties																																
Millgate	13 electors	6 properties																																
Mill Close	48 electors	31 properties																																
Mill Road	67 electors	27 properties																																

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community												
Electoral Registration Officer	Penylan												
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: Move 2,367 properties from Penylan Community to Cyncoed Community to better reflect the identity and interests of the residents. The number of electors and properties affected are:</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%;">All FA Polling District</td> <td style="width: 35%;">1437 electors</td> <td style="width: 35%;">1177 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>All FH Polling District</td> <td>969 electors</td> <td>496 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>All FD Polling District</td> <td>346 electors</td> <td>456 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Most of FB Polling District</td> <td>649 electors</td> <td>238 properties</td> </tr> </table> <p>Penylan community is effectively split into two by the physical boundary that is the A48 Eastern Avenue dual carriageway. Whilst we acknowledge that there are 3 access roads across the A48, the current community is not a cohesive unit. It is therefore proposed that either the properties north of the A48 be joined to Cyncoed community or that a separate community be established for these properties. It is proposed that the residents be consulted on whether they are better represented by being a part of Cyncoed, Penylan or as a separate community.</p>		All FA Polling District	1437 electors	1177 properties	All FH Polling District	969 electors	496 properties	All FD Polling District	346 electors	456 properties	Most of FB Polling District	649 electors	238 properties
All FA Polling District	1437 electors	1177 properties											
All FH Polling District	969 electors	496 properties											
All FD Polling District	346 electors	456 properties											
Most of FB Polling District	649 electors	238 properties											

From	Community						
Electoral Registration Officer	Lisvane						
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: Move 33 properties from Lisvane Community to Llanishen Community to better reflect the identity and interests of the residents. The number of electors and properties affected are:</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%;">Caedelyn Court</td> <td style="width: 35%;">19 electors</td> <td style="width: 35%;">18 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bryncoed</td> <td>10 electors</td> <td>8 properties</td> </tr> </table> <p>In addition the following 7 named properties:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Holmes View 2 electors - Cotswold 1 elector - The Rangers Bungalow 1 elector - Old Cottage 0 electors - Cherry Orchard Cottage 1 elector - Haul Fryn Cottage 2 electors 		Caedelyn Court	19 electors	18 properties	Bryncoed	10 electors	8 properties
Caedelyn Court	19 electors	18 properties					
Bryncoed	10 electors	8 properties					

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



- Felindre Fach	1 elector
These properties are physically separated from their existing community of Lisvane by the M4 motorway and a railway. Whilst there are connecting roads to Lisvane, their natural orientation is towards Llanishen community.	

From	Community
Electoral Registration Officer	Pentwyn
<i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: Relocate the current boundary between Pentwyn community and Llanrumney community from the river to the physical divide of the A48, Eastern Avenue. This proposal will move the land between the river and the A48 into Llanrumney community, which has the only physical access to this area of land. Therefore it is not a part of Pentwyn community. Whilst there is no proposed development for this area, this proposal aims to pre-empt potential future warding and electoral arrangement problems that would occur if this land was built upon. There are no electors or properties currently affected by this proposal.	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community																		
Electoral Registration Officer	Trowbridge																		
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: Moving 117 properties in SF polling district from Trowbridge Community to Rumney Community. The number of electors and properties affected total 117 properties and consist of</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%;">New Road (Westbourne Court)</td> <td style="width: 20%;">25 electors</td> <td style="width: 20%;">17 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Newton Road</td> <td>15 electors</td> <td>7 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pwllmawr Cottages</td> <td>3 electors</td> <td>2 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pwllmawr Court</td> <td>7 electors</td> <td>4 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>The Avenue</td> <td>15 electors</td> <td>10 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Wentloog Road</td> <td>184 electors</td> <td>77 properties</td> </tr> </table> <p>The area in question is bounded on the north side by the railway line and on the south side by the Bristol Channel. There is only one access road into the area from Cardiff Council's jurisdiction and that is via the community of Rumney. The area in question is therefore geographically and physically separate from Trowbridge. It's voting arrangements are also currently delivered in Rumney, where the closest polling station is. It is therefore proposed that this area move to the community of Rumney.</p>		New Road (Westbourne Court)	25 electors	17 properties	Newton Road	15 electors	7 properties	Pwllmawr Cottages	3 electors	2 properties	Pwllmawr Court	7 electors	4 properties	The Avenue	15 electors	10 properties	Wentloog Road	184 electors	77 properties
New Road (Westbourne Court)	25 electors	17 properties																	
Newton Road	15 electors	7 properties																	
Pwllmawr Cottages	3 electors	2 properties																	
Pwllmawr Court	7 electors	4 properties																	
The Avenue	15 electors	10 properties																	
Wentloog Road	184 electors	77 properties																	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community												
Electoral Registration Officer	Trowbridge												
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: Moving all electors and properties in New Road (Westbourne Court), Pwllmawr Cottages, Pwllmawr Court and The Avenue currently in Trowbridge Community to Rumney Community to better reflect the identity and interests of the residents. The number of electors and properties affected are</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%;">New Road (Westbourne Court)</td> <td style="width: 30%;">25 electors</td> <td style="width: 40%;">17 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pwllmawr Cottages</td> <td>3 electors</td> <td>2 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pwllmawr Court</td> <td>7 electors</td> <td>4 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>The Avenue</td> <td>15 electors</td> <td>10 properties</td> </tr> </table> <p>These properties are physically isolated from their existing community of Trowbridge as the surrounding properties on the Trowbridge side are all non-residential and are actually trading estate properties. The only residential properties neighbouring Westbourne Court, Pwllmawr Cottage, Pwllmawr Court and The Avenue are all in Rumney. Therefore these properties will be better served as being part of the community of Rumney.</p>		New Road (Westbourne Court)	25 electors	17 properties	Pwllmawr Cottages	3 electors	2 properties	Pwllmawr Court	7 electors	4 properties	The Avenue	15 electors	10 properties
New Road (Westbourne Court)	25 electors	17 properties											
Pwllmawr Cottages	3 electors	2 properties											
Pwllmawr Court	7 electors	4 properties											
The Avenue	15 electors	10 properties											

From	Community			
Electoral Registration Officer	Fairwater			
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: Move all electors and properties in Western Avenue Court from Fairwater Community to Canton Community. The number of electors and properties affected are</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%;">Western Avenue Court</td> <td style="width: 30%;">4 electors</td> <td style="width: 40%;">4 properties</td> </tr> </table> <p>Western Avenue Court is at the junction of three communities, namely Fairwater, Llandaff and Canton. The current boundary of Fairwater and Canton communities runs along the physical divide that is Western Avenue, except for one block of properties, namely Western Avenue Court. It is proposed that the interests and identity of the residents would be better served within the community of Canton. This proposal should be read in conjunction with the other 2 proposals affecting this area.</p>		Western Avenue Court	4 electors	4 properties
Western Avenue Court	4 electors	4 properties		

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community									
Electoral Registration Officer	Llandaff									
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: Move 46 properties from Llandaff Community to Canton Community. The number of electors and properties affected are</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%;">Lloyd Avenue</td> <td style="width: 30%;">22 electors</td> <td style="width: 40%;">12 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ely Road (112-136)</td> <td>28 electors</td> <td>14 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Waun Gron Road (1-21 Annexe)</td> <td>35 electors</td> <td>20 properties</td> </tr> </table> <p>This area is at the junction of three communities, namely Fairwater, Llandaff and Canton and is bounded on the north by Western Avenue and Waun-Gron. It is proposed that these main roads form the boundary of this 3 community intersection, rather than the railway line and Ely Road. These roads are believed to be the primary physical dividers of the communities, rather than the smaller Ely Road and the railway line. Moving this area into Canton would ensure a continuation of the current Llandaff/Canton boundary along Pencisely Road into Waun-Gron Road, rather than into the smaller Ely Road. This proposal should be read in conjunction with the other 2 proposals affecting this area.</p>		Lloyd Avenue	22 electors	12 properties	Ely Road (112-136)	28 electors	14 properties	Waun Gron Road (1-21 Annexe)	35 electors	20 properties
Lloyd Avenue	22 electors	12 properties								
Ely Road (112-136)	28 electors	14 properties								
Waun Gron Road (1-21 Annexe)	35 electors	20 properties								

From	Community
Electoral Registration Officer	Llandaff
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: Move the boundary between Fairwater, Llandaff and Canton communities to the larger physical boundaries between these 3 communities. This proposal will currently only relocate the Recycling/refuse site on Waun Gron Road to the Fairwater Community from Llandaff Community. It currently will not affect any residential properties. Whilst there is no proposal for a change of use at the site, if one were to be made in the future, the current arrangement of community is not felt to reflect the interest of this area. This area is at the junction of three communities, namely Fairwater, Llandaff and Canton and is bounded on the north and east by Western Avenue and Waun-Gron. It is proposed that these main roads form the boundary of this 3 community intersection, rather than the railway line and Ely Road. These roads are believed to be the primary physical dividers of the communities, rather than the smaller Ely Road and the railway line. Moving this area into Fairwater is believed to be in the interests of all three communities concerned. This proposal should be read in conjunction with the other 2 proposals affecting this area.</p>	

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community
Electoral Registration Officer	Llandaff
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: Move Penhill Court (The Rise, Youth Offending Service) from Llandaff Community to Riverside Community in order to better reflect the physical location of the property. Whilst there are currently no electors or residential properties affected by this proposal, this proposal aims to pre-empt potential future warding and electoral arrangement problems that would occur if a change in use was applied for.</p>	

From	Community																											
Electoral Registration Officer	St Fagans																											
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: Move 275 properties from St Fagans Community to Ely Community to better reflect the identity and interests of the residents. The number of electors and properties affected are</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tbody> <tr> <td style="width: 30%;">Butterbur Place</td> <td style="width: 20%;">24 electors</td> <td style="width: 50%;">12 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Celandine Road</td> <td>10 electors</td> <td>5 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Crosswells Way</td> <td>38 electors</td> <td>23 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fescue Place</td> <td>25 electors</td> <td>13 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Marguerites Way</td> <td>147 electors</td> <td>78 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ramsons Way</td> <td>96 electors</td> <td>41 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Vervain Close</td> <td>42 electors</td> <td>29 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Whinberry Way</td> <td>65 electors</td> <td>43 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Yarrow Close</td> <td>51 electors</td> <td>31 properties</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The proposed area has no direct road link with the rest of it's current community. The only road into the area originates within the community of Ely. The closest schools, local shops and recreation areas are within Ely. As this area is effectively detached from it's current community it is proposed that their interests will be better served by becoming a part of the community of Ely.</p>		Butterbur Place	24 electors	12 properties	Celandine Road	10 electors	5 properties	Crosswells Way	38 electors	23 properties	Fescue Place	25 electors	13 properties	Marguerites Way	147 electors	78 properties	Ramsons Way	96 electors	41 properties	Vervain Close	42 electors	29 properties	Whinberry Way	65 electors	43 properties	Yarrow Close	51 electors	31 properties
Butterbur Place	24 electors	12 properties																										
Celandine Road	10 electors	5 properties																										
Crosswells Way	38 electors	23 properties																										
Fescue Place	25 electors	13 properties																										
Marguerites Way	147 electors	78 properties																										
Ramsons Way	96 electors	41 properties																										
Vervain Close	42 electors	29 properties																										
Whinberry Way	65 electors	43 properties																										
Yarrow Close	51 electors	31 properties																										

Community Review 2013

Review of the Community Boundary And Electoral Arrangements Within The City And County of Cardiff **DRAFT PROPOSALS –APPENDIX G**



From	Community																					
Electoral Registration Officer	Canton																					
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: Move 278 properties from Canton Community to Grangetown Community to better reflect the identity and interests of the residents. The number of electors and properties affected are:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Bartley Wilson Way</td> <td>190 electors</td> <td>142 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dunraven Road</td> <td>51 electors</td> <td>31 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ffordd Fred Keenor</td> <td>1 elector</td> <td>2 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Leckwith Close</td> <td>34 electors</td> <td>26 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>St Donats Road</td> <td>66 electors</td> <td>51 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Newton Road</td> <td>26 electors</td> <td>16 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sloper Road</td> <td>51 electors</td> <td>26 properties</td> </tr> </table> <p>The proposed area should be viewed as part of Grangetown community as it is divided from it's current community of Canton by Leckwith Road, which is a major arterial road accessing Cardiff. It is also bounded on two other sides by the A4232 link road and by a railway line. These physical delimiters separate this area from Canton and thus it is proposed that the interest of the residents would be better served as part of the Grangetown community.</p>		Bartley Wilson Way	190 electors	142 properties	Dunraven Road	51 electors	31 properties	Ffordd Fred Keenor	1 elector	2 properties	Leckwith Close	34 electors	26 properties	St Donats Road	66 electors	51 properties	Newton Road	26 electors	16 properties	Sloper Road	51 electors	26 properties
Bartley Wilson Way	190 electors	142 properties																				
Dunraven Road	51 electors	31 properties																				
Ffordd Fred Keenor	1 elector	2 properties																				
Leckwith Close	34 electors	26 properties																				
St Donats Road	66 electors	51 properties																				
Newton Road	26 electors	16 properties																				
Sloper Road	51 electors	26 properties																				

From	Community
Electoral Registration Officer	Llanrumney
<p><i>Submission Summary:</i> Changes: Move 37 properties from Llanrumney Community to Rumney Community to better reflect the identity and interests of the residents. The number of electors and properties affected are</p> <p>Newport Road (odd numbers from 693, 695, ..., 779A, Ye Cross Inn). A total of 61 electors and 37 properties</p> <p>The boundary between Llanrumney and Rumney communities currently divides Newport Road such that the residents in neighbouring properties are represented by different communities and vote at different polling stations.</p> <p>In order to improve electoral representation it is proposed that the community boundary be altered such that all odd numbered properties between Rumney Hill Gardens and Ye Cross Inn be represented by the single community of Rumney.</p>	